

Results of Mission Statement Survey, Spring 2010

Response Scale: 1=high, 2=medium, 3=low, 4=none/not at all

Current Mission Statement				Proposed Mission Statement			
q1: Awareness of mission statement				q4: Awareness of mission statement			
Response	Frequency	Percent		Response	Frequency	Percent	
High	37	46.25		High	23	28.75	
Medium	26	32.50		Medium	20	25.00	
Low	10	12.50		Low	17	21.25	
None/Not at all	6	7.50		None/Not at all	20	25.00	
Missing	1	1.25		Missing	0	0.00	
q2: Significance to you in your job, role, or function at LAMC				q6: Significance to you in your job, role, or function at LAMC			
Response	Frequency	Percent		Response	Frequency	Percent	
High	44	55.00		High	44	55.00	
Medium	26	32.50		Medium	20	25.00	
Low	6	7.50		Low	14	17.50	
None/Not at all	3	3.75		None/Not at all	2	2.50	
Missing	1	1.25		Missing	0	0.00	
q3: Suitability in relation to mission and purpose of college				q5: Suitability in relation to mission and purpose of college			
Response	Frequency	Percent		Response	Frequency	Percent	
High	52	65.00		High	42	52.50	
Medium	17	21.25		Medium	24	30.00	
Low	9	11.25		Low	10	12.50	
None/Not at all	1	1.25		None/Not at all	4	5.00	
Missing	1	1.25		Missing	0	0.00	

Employee group

Response	Frequency	Percent	
Regular Faculty	20	25.00	
Adjunct Faculty	30	37.50	
Classified Staff	26	32.50	
Administration	2	2.50	
Student	0	0.00	
Other	2	2.50	
Missing	0	0.00	

Comments

I believe this campus needs to really embed a current mission statement that is more professional meeting.

the simplicity of the proposed statement is much more better and makes the reader more in tune rather than getting lost in the words. i like it. says the same thing in less of words/jargon.

Proposed mission statement: ommit "diverse". I like that you can memorize it and actually say it to someone in one sentence.

The current mission statement is more specific, but the last bullet needs to be re-worded. The proposed statement is more concise, but leaves out the student success message.

I prefer the original statement. It is short, clear, and represents the reality of the various areas that compose the college.

The proposed statement is better because the reader does not bogged down in the longer present version. Less is better in many instances.

Both important for life-long learning

This is a good statement assuming the intent is to keep the Mission Statement to one sentence.

I like the new mission statement, it represents my current job, role an function as Adjunct Faculty.

I prefer the current mission.

They reflect our college goals. I believe they are excellent.

Mission colleg also provides, a peacefull setting that promoted its statement

I believe that the current statement is too long. The proposed statement is better because serves the purpose of a mission statement and is shorter.

I think the first one is better and more descriptive.

I like the idea of including "student success" in the statement.

I think it is great

Simple but strong mission and applies to the current demographics of the college.

How can we meet this mission statement when we cannot offer enough classes to satisfy student demand?

Mission statements need to be short enough that everyone can recite them by memory. They should be posted in every office for staff and students to see.

This field does not have the capability to store my comments. Please extend this field to be able to write more than two lines. Thanks,

The current statement spells out the activites that support the mission and is rough. The revised statement is more polished but harder to relate to.

The proposed mission statement, seems to be on target but awfully short. But, if mission statements are to be succinct and to the point, the proposed statement meets all the basic requirements.

The proposed statement has the advantage of being concise, but it seems much weaker for that reason.

The proposed mission statement is very succinct and expresses its purpose without being too long.

Mission College also offers a peaceful, setting that supports the mission satatement

In some ways this is a lot simpler, but it also leaves us some of the specifics of the current mission statement.

It is best not to promise a transfer to a four-year school. Adding "training" needs is important as Mission's most popular majors are service jobs i.e. child care, culinary arts.

I like that its short and to the point!

It's nice that it is more concise.

I prefer the "old" mission statement as opposed to the proposed new one. It seems a bit wordy and awkward.

The proposed statement seems to vague and general. Also, it is too short.

The first statement is better - feels more student oriented.

Besides accreditation what value or use does it have? I would like to know what value this has to the school beside accreditation.

We should elaborate more on exactly what we want everyone around the world to know about Los Angeles Mission College.

I believe the current mission reflects better the purpose of LAMC. Can we stop wasting valuable time, money, and resources on 'busy work' and just try harder to commit to the current mission?

Justification of my comments: "Ethnicity in In" Therefore my comment is: Last line: of the diverse ethnic communities Mission College serves.

The current statement is easily understood. The proposed one: The meaning gets lost by the time you get to the end of the statement. Edit the statement or make it two.

I love how Mission is growing and meeting the needs of our students. I'm just worried that sometimes there is a mis-communication between the Admin office and Counselors our students go and see.

I think it should say students somewhere such as,"Los Angeles Mission College is committed to its students by providing..."

It is too bad that you have to spend so much of your time on something like this. How will this help the students at Mission College?

see e-mail

Anything that will publicized the presence of Mission in the community cannot but help...