

Los Angeles Mission College
 Student Support Services Committee
 Program Review Validations

Rubric for Program Review / Comprehensive Unit Assessment			
	Incomplete	Strengthening Advised	Complete
Institutional Learning Outcomes	Not clearly aligned with the Institutional Learning outcomes with Data/Trend analysis of the Student Support Service Area Outcome assessment tool.	Somewhat aligned with the Institutional Learning outcomes with Data/Trend analysis of the Student Support Service Area Outcome assessment tool.	Clear alignment with the Institutional Learning outcomes with Data/Trend analysis of the Student Support Service Area Outcome assessment tool.
Compliance with State requirements	Data/trend analysis weak or missing Discussion of factors that affect trends missing or weak Objectives missing or not related to trends	Data/trend analysis weak, loosely based on stats. General factors that affect trends Objectives loosely related to trends	Data/trends analyzed carefully and compared with District Specific factors that affect trends Objectives address trends
Student Success	Data/trend analysis weak or missing Discussion of factors that affect trends missing or weak Objectives missing or not related to trends	Data/trend analysis weak, loosely based on stats. General factors that affect trends Objectives loosely related to trends	Data/trends analyzed carefully and compared with District Specific factors that affect trends Objectives address trends

Los Angeles Mission College
Comprehensive Program Review Validation Form

Division:	Lead Validator:	Extension:	Date:
Student Services –			
Validation Year:			
Validation Team:			

1. What do you see as the main strengths of the comprehensive program review (CPR)?

-

2. What do you see as the areas most in need of improvement in the CPR?

3. To what extent does the CPR demonstrate support for the mission and goals of the college as a whole?

4. To what extent is each of the following sections properly completed and up-to-date? If improvements are needed, specify them.

a. Unit Effectiveness—SAOs

Many areas of the SAOs are properly completed, however, the following improvements are needed:

b. The rest of the Unit Effectiveness sections

c. Planning Assumptions and Assessment

d. Unit Objectives and Resources

-

e. The remaining sections

-

5. To what extent are there clear connections from useful evidence (including but not limited to SAO assessments) through meaningful analysis, sound improvement objectives, and relevant resource requests (if any)?

-

6. To what extent are recommendations from prior validation addressed effectively?

7. Commendations.

-

8. Recommendations

-

9. Responses to the validating team's questions from the program director.

-