1. What do you see as the main strengths of the comprehensive program review (CPR)?

- Despite the fact that the program has been inactive for 13-14, O&R has been able to re-establish and maintain relationships with local schools and agencies.
- Resources are adequately validated for the objective.
- Good use of data reported in student population served section.
- Compared to 2007-2008 program review, all relevant sections were addressed.
- Despite lack of funding and staffing, the program has met its stated SAOs.

2. What do you see as the areas most in need of improvement in the CPR?

- Need to fill in with NA for areas that are non applicable.
- Need to address more resources to support objectives.
- SAO Number 1, needs to address criterion language (i.e. significantly increase instead of enhance).
- SAO Number 1, under results, the percent of increased partnerships per year need to be stated. Assessment methods could be the data on the number of partnerships.
- Objective number 1, resource number 1, needs to clearly reflect student worker salary and the number of student workers to reflect the correct anticipated costs.
- Objective number 2, omit resource request for Office Assistant since it has already been requested under Objective 1. Also consider including additional resources to fund the objective (supplies, marketing materials, equipment, travel etc.)

3. To what extent does the CPR demonstrate support for the mission and goals of the college as a whole?

- Through the objectives and SAOs established.
4. To what extent is each of the following sections properly completed and up-to-date? If improvements are needed, specify them.

a. Unit Effectiveness—SAOs

Many areas of the SAOs are properly completed, however, the following improvements are needed:

- SAO Number 1, needs to address criterion language (i.e. significantly increase instead of enhance).
- SAO Number 1, under results, the percent of increased partnerships per year need to be stated. Assessment methods could be the data on the number of partnerships.

b. The rest of the Unit Effectiveness sections

- All the areas were completed and clearly addressed.
- Data analysis was detailed and showed positive developments.

c. Planning Assumptions and Assessment

- Section was complete.
- Adequately addresses the need for increased staffing, funding and appropriate space.
d. Unit Objectives and Resources

- Objective number 1, resource number 1, needs to clearly reflect student worker salary and the number of student workers to reflect the correct anticipated costs.
- Objective number 2, omit resource request for Office Assistant since it has already been requested under Objective 1. Also consider including additional resources to fund the objective (supplies, marketing materials, equipment, travel etc.)

e. The remaining sections

- Compared to 2007-2008 program review, all relevant sections were addressed.

5. To what extent are there clear connections from useful evidence (including but not limited to SAO assessments) through meaningful analysis, sound improvement objectives, and relevant resource requests (if any)?

- There seems to be clear connections between the SAOs, objectives and resource requests.

6. To what extent are recommendations from prior validation addressed effectively?

- Address rec. from 07-08 validation with regards to the master list of all organizations that LAMC connects.
- Compared to 2007-2008 program review, all relevant sections were addressed.
- Some of the previous recommendations seemed to list facts. Therefore, a response was not required.
- SAOs have been re-established.

7. Commendations.

- Despite the fact that the program has been inactive for 13-14, O&R has been able to re-establish and maintain relationships with local schools and agencies.
- Resources are adequately validated for the objective.
- Good use of data reported in student population served section.
- Compared to 2007-2008 program review, all relevant sections were addressed.
- Despite lack of funding and staffing, the program has met its stated SAOs.
- Despite the limited amount of time given to this program to complete the CPR, the program met the deadline and effectively updated the CPR.

8. Recommendations

-
9. Responses to the validating team’s questions from the program director.